for. The member submitted that if the old survey measurements were incorrect, the assessment of cardamom gardens based on those measurements would have to be revised after the completion of the present resurvey. He also represented that there was unusual delay in the completion of this resurvey and that maps were not issued even for gardens surveyed twelve months ago.

Reply.—A resurvey was necessary to find out the variations in the extent of holdings which had been brought to the notice of Government since the completion of the original survey. Orders have been already issued to complete this survey and to supply maps expeditiously.

As regards revision of assessment, individual cases, if brought to notice, will be considered and relief given if the rules or practice permits the same.

33. Necessity for the grant of copies of measurement plans from the taluk office.

Mr. M. Sivathanu Pillai (*Tovala*) said that under the existing arrangements land-owners experienced considerable difficulty in obtaining copies of measurement plans as they were not issued from the taluks.

Reply.—This is involved in the scheme referred to in subject No. 31.

34. Desirability of apportioning the cost of boundary demarcation stones between registry holders and those in whose names lands may be registered hereafter instead of from the former alone as is now done.

Mr. Kanakku Mathevan Mathevan (*Nedumangad*) said that the present practice was to realize the cost of demarcation stones from land-holders in proportion to the amount of assessment fixed upon their lands. As this was not an equitable mode of apportionment, the member requested that the whole area of the taluk should be taken into consideration for purposes of calculation and the cost incurred by Government on this account rateably divided between both registered and unregistered lands. As the latter came to be gradually registered, the amount due on account of planting demarcation stones on them might also be realized from the proprietors concerned.

Reply.—There is, no doubt, some hardship and the apportionment does not seem to be equitable. I do not see why the cost should not

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly